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## Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEC</td>
<td>Consumers Energy Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCR</td>
<td>Coal Combustion Residuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAP</td>
<td>Emergency Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS</td>
<td>Incident Command System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>B. C. Cobb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.0 Introduction

Consumers Energy Company’s (CEC) B. C. Cobb (BCC) facility stores coal combustion residuals (CCR) in surface impoundments which are classified as significant hazard potential units. Therefore, pursuant to the United States Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) 40 CFR Part 257 (specifically 40CFR§257.73(a)(3)(i)), this facility must prepare and maintain a written Emergency Action Plan (EAP). The purpose of the EAP is to define emergencies related to CCR surface impoundments, define responsible persons, and define notification procedures in the event of an emergency. The EAP must be completed and placed in the facility’s operating record no later than April 17, 2017. 40CFR§257.73(a)(3)(i) requires that the owner or operator of the CCR Unit (EPA 2015):

A. Define the events or circumstances involving the CCR unit that represent a safety emergency, along with a description of the procedures that will be followed to detect a safety emergency in a timely manner;

B. Define responsible persons, their respective responsibilities, and notification procedures in the event of a safety emergency involving the CCR unit;

C. Provide contact information of emergency responders;

D. Include a map which delineates the downstream area which would be affected in the event of a CCR unit failure and a physical description of the CCR unit; and

E. Include provisions for an annual face-to-face meeting or exercise between representatives of the owner or operator of the CCR unit and the local emergency responders.

The B. C. Cobb facility is located in Muskegon, Michigan (shown on Figure 1). Two of BCC’s CCR surface impoundments (CCR units), Ponds 0-8 and Bottom Ash Pond, have been classified as “significant hazard potential CCR surface impoundments” (Golder, 2016a and Golder, 2016b). The EAP provides CEC with a pre-planned and organized method to identify and implement a response to a safety emergency related to the CCR units. The impoundments are bounded on the west by Cedar Creek, the north branch of the Muskegon River as it empties into Muskegon Lake, and on the south by the generation facility’s cooling water discharge channel. The generation facility no longer discharges water or CCR material to the impoundments. Only precipitation on the impoundments contributes to the volume of water in the impoundments.

1.1 CCR Units

The two CCR units with significant hazard potential classifications are bounded by internal and perimeter dikes. The internal and perimeter dikes were constructed of material dug when first constructing the ponds. As the facility expanded, bottom ash and concrete debris (especially on the perimeter dikes at the west and southwest of the ponds) were added to increase the storage capacity and reinforce the dikes (AECOM, 2009). Rip rap also protects the dikes from wave erosion on from Muskegon Lake. In accordance
with 40CFR§257.73(a)(3)(i)(D) and to provide context for failure detection and response actions, these two CCR units are described in more detail in the following sections.

1.1.1 Bottom Ash Pond

The Bottom Ash Pond was completed as part of the initial construction of the facility. When the generating facility was in operation, CCR and water was sluiced to the bottom ash pond. The effluent from the Bottom Ash Pond gravity flows to ponds 5 and 6. When the plant was in operation, bottom ash was dredged weekly and deposited in Pond 0 to dewater prior to dry disposal upland (AECOM 2009). In recent years the dredged ash was sold as a beneficial reuse material.

The normal freeboard was approximately 3 feet during plant operation. Water level within the pond varies with total storage capacity estimated at 11,630 CY (AECOM, 2009).

1.1.2 Ponds 0-8

Ponds 0-8 were completed as part of the initial construction of the facility. When the generating facility was in operation, effluent from the bottom ash pond gravity flowed to ponds 5 and 6, then to ponds 1 through 4. Fly ash was formerly sluiced to ponds 7 and 8. Effluent from ponds 0-8 discharges to the plant discharge channel at outfall 001B. (AECOM, 2009).

Normal free board for the ponds varied from 1.5 to 3 feet during plant operation. Water level in the ponds vary, with total storage volume estimated at 273,000 CY for the CCR unit (AECOM, 2009).

The outfall structure consists of 24-inch HDPE inflow and outflow pipes which convey water through a concrete box, which contains a flume to measure water flow (AECOM, 2009).
2.0 Safety Emergency

For purposes of this EAP, a safety emergency would occur if one or both of the subject CCR units failed or if failure were imminent. While the magnitude or severity of such a safety emergency might vary, the EAP was prepared with conservative assumptions that a catastrophic failure of the CCR units occurred. Therefore, an immediate response according to the EAP is conservative to protect the anticipated affected area. Additional actions after the initial response (cleanup, investigation, repairs, etc.) would be geared toward the actual conditions of the emergency and are, therefore, not prescribed in this EAP.

2.1 Events or Circumstances Which Represent a Safety Emergency

A safety emergency occurs in the event of failure of the CCR unit or if observed conditions represent imminent failure of the CCR unit as determined by a professional engineer in the GE&S Systems Engineering – Infrastructure group (an internal CEC group of subject matter experts) in consultation with Qualified Personnel at the facility (Qualified Person as defined in 40CFR§257.53: a person trained to recognize specific appearances of structural weakness and other conditions which are disrupting or have the potential to disrupt the operation or safety of the CCR unit by visual observation). Imminent failure will be determined based on knowledge of the CCR unit construction and the failure modes evaluated in the Potential Failure Mode Analysis performed for the facility (AECOM, 2009).

Potential failure modes include a physical dike failure (i.e., uncontrolled seepage causing internal dike erosion and dike breach) and/or overtopping and erosion of the dike (due to a significant storm event, unusually vigorous wave action from Muskegon Lake, etc.).

A final, although unlikely, potential failure mode would be failure induced by uncontrolled earthwork such as excavation at the toe of slope and/or placement of excess load on side slopes or slope crests, such as by heavy equipment activity; either of which may in some circumstances initiate slope failure.

2.2 Detection Procedures

The CCR units are periodically inspected for structural and operational conditions by a Qualified Person in adherence with 40CFR§257.83(a)(1) and 257.84(a)(1). Weekly inspections are completed to monitor and document the physical condition of the CCR units. In these inspections, the Qualified Person conducts a visual evaluation for conditions such as vegetation, beaching, bulging, depressions, cracking, erosion rilling and gullies, seepage, sloughing and sliding, or unnatural settlement (CEC, 2015). Observations are reviewed by an employee of the site’s Environmental & Technical Support department; if conditions of potential concern are observed they are promptly reported, following which notification and response procedures may be enacted (see Section 3.0).

Annual inspections are completed by a qualified Professional Engineer (CEC, 2015). This annual inspection also includes a review of available information, including weekly inspection reports, to understand trends which may be apparent based on changes documented over time. Periodic assessments are also completed as required by the CCR rule. Beyond the visual indicators that are reviewed in weekly inspections, specific items inspected for include, but may not be limited to:
• New and/or uncontrolled slope erosion.
• Indicators of potential slope movement such as:
  o changes in dike alignment
  o changes in dike crest elevation
• Whirlpool within pond
• Turbid discharge water
• CCR unit pond level and freeboard.
• Indications of seepage through dikes such as:
  o soft/saturated toe of slope
  o mid-slope water discharge
• Uncommon variation in vegetation type and density

Members of the public may report a condition or situation indicative of an emergency event to emergency dispatch (911); see Section 3.0.

Currently, the CCR units do not have, nor do they require, instrumentation.

2.3 Delineation of the Downstream Affected Area

According to the Hazard Potential Classification Reports (HPCRs) for Ponds 0-8 and the Bottom Ash Pond (Golder, 2016a and Golder 2016b), a breach of the impoundments’ external dike would result in a discharge of CCR and water to Muskegon Lake. The HPCRs therefore categorized the impoundments as significant hazards because no probable loss of human life is expected, however, environmental damage may result which would not be limited to CEC property (Golder, 2016a and Golder 2016b). In development of the emergency action plan for BCC pursuant to 40CFR§257.73, Barr prepared Figures 2 and 3 to approximate the area which would be affected by a catastrophic discharge of CCR material and water from the impoundments.

Figure 2 presents an approximate affected area in the event of a breach from outfall 001B (the discharge point for effluent from the impoundments) and Figure 3 presents an approximate affected area in the event of a breach emanating from the southwest corner of pond 4 which is the approximate lowest point of the ponds’ external dike near the location where the discharge channel enters Cedar Creek. The affected areas presented on these figures were estimated based on the volume of stored CCR material and water which could potentially be discharged during an emergency event using conservative breach scenarios and elevation data for the adjacent areas.

According to the HPCRs, the normal storage level of CCR material and water in the impoundments is equal to the mean lake level of Muskegon Lake. During a 1,000 year storm event, however, the CCR material and water which could potentially be discharged during a breach would be equivalent to the 1,000 year event volume of the impoundments above the mean lake level; this volume for all nine ponds is approximately 41 acre-feet (Golder, 2016a and Golder, 2016b). A conservative scenario would presume
that the entirety of this volume could be discharged at once during an emergency event. Under this assumption, an affected area might include 41 acres of the area adjacent to a dam breach (assuming an inundation of 1 foot).

This is a conservative assumption since a break resulting in the instantaneous discharge of the entire maximum storage capacity from all 9 ponds would be unlikely. Additionally, the rise in the level of Muskegon Lake or Cedar Creek due to an emergency associated with the impoundments would rapidly dampen as the relatively small volume of the maximum potential discharge is absorbed by the much larger surface area of Muskegon Lake and Cedar Creek.

Using the conservative inundation area and elevation data for the adjacent area (USGS, 2013 and Williams & Works, 2016) the approximate affected area shown on Figures 2 and 3 depicts the water and bottom lands in the Cedar Creek delta which would be affected by the 1 foot inundation inclusive of the 41 acre area (elevation data from digital elevation model, plus 1 foot). The edges of this area are approximate. In addition, because the normal storage volume in the impoundments is related to the water level in Muskegon Lake, the actual affected area may have a different shape than depicted on Figures 2 and 3 due to the relative elevation differences between the lake level and elevation of bottom land and shoreline. For example, at the mean lake level noted in the HPCRs, much of the bottom land observed on the figures would be underwater and a discharge from a breach may flow differently due to a change in the lake’s surface area. The primary areas affected by a breach would remain Cedar Creek and Muskegon Lake. Emergency response and notification procedures would not be expected to change from those outline in this EAP.
3.0 Responsible Persons, Responsibilities, and Notification Procedures

In adherence with 40CFR§257.73(a)(3)(B), Figure 4 outlines the approach to responding to a CCR unit safety emergency. Responsible persons, their responsibilities, and the notification order are summarized on Figures 4 to provide a quick-reference document during implementation of the EAP. In the event that a long-term response action is necessary, the Short-term On-Scene Commander will activate needed positions within CEC’s Incident Command System (ICS) based upon the needs of the incident. The ICS and related programs are outside the context of this plan and the notification procedures defined herein.

Pursuant to 40CFR§257.73(a)(3)(C), Figure 4 also shows emergency responders, including their contact information, who will be contacted in the event of a safety emergency.
4.0 Annual Exercise Meeting

An annual meeting will be coordinated by Environmental & Technical Support department personnel and will include CEC representatives and local emergency responders. The CEC representatives and emergency responders included on Figure 4 will be invited to participate.
5.0 Revisions

CEC has a program to periodically review and amend emergency planning documents. The EAP will be included in this program and reviewed, at a minimum, every five years. The current EAP, i.e. the reviewed or revised EAP, will be placed in the facility’s operating record as required by 40CFR§257.105(f)(6).
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FIGURE 3
Public Observation of potential emergency condition

GE&S Systems Engineering - Infrastructure
Technical expert makes imminent failure determination in consultation with qualified personnel at the facility (517.206.8282)

Has Failure Occurred?

No

Contact 911 dispatch to Call off Officer

Is Failure Imminent?

No

Maintenance or Repair Procedures
No emergency response necessary

Yes

Contact Environmental & Technical Support

JHC Environmental Manager or their Designee
(517.206.8006)
Makes or coordinates notification calls to external (first priority) and internal contacts (in the numbered, priority order, as shown). The caller should collect the following information and provide it to the notified parties:
- The release is coal combustion residual material from the facility's coal ash surface impoundment (provide CCR unit identification, if known)
- Location of the breach and magnitude of the discharge (if known)
- If the release is ongoing or if the potential for additional released material is unlikely
- The affected or anticipated to be affected water bodies

JHC Environmental Manager
Receives notification (517.206.8006)

PEAS Dispatch Officer

Dispatch Officer to Investigate

Emergency Responder Notifications

Internal Notifications

1. Muskegon County Emergency Response
   (231.799.5111)
2. Muskegon County Environmental Health Department
   (231.724.1253)
3. National Response Center (NRC)
   (800.424.8802)
4. Michigan Pollution Emergency Alert System (PEAS)
   (800.292.4706)
5. Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) Incident Manager
   NPDES Permit MI0060248
   (517.420.2605)
6. EGLE Grand Rapids District Office
   NPDES Permit MI0060248
   (616.356.0500)
7. US EPA Region V Spill Phone
   (312.353.2318)
8. JHC Environmental Lead/Initial On-Scene Commander
   Provides environmental technical assistance and assists with any potential environmental restoration
   (517.206.8006)
9. Corporate Environmental & Technical Support
   Provides technical support and makes additional environmental contacts as appropriate
   (517.788.2980)
10. Environmental Services
    Coordination of cleanup contractors and disposal
    (517.788.2083)
11. JHC Site Business Manager
    Supports allocation and procurement of response resources
    (616.738.3510)
12. Public Affairs
    Coordinates communications to public
    (231.375.9379)

Figure 4
EMERGENCY ACTION PLAN NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE
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Ponds 0-8 and Bottom Ash Pond
B.C. Cobb Facility
Muskegon, MI