

B.C. COBB GENERATING FACILITY

BOTTOM ASH POND INFLOW DESIGN FLOOD CONTROL SYSTEM PLAN

Muskegon, Michigan

Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.82

Submitted To: Consumers Energy Company 1945 W. Parnall Road Jackson, Michigan 49201

Submitted By: Golder Associates Inc. 15851 South US 27, Suite 50 Lansing, Michigan 48906

October 2016

1652598



States -			
	October 2016	C-1	1652598

CERTIFICATION

Professional Engineer Certification Statement [40 CFR 257.82(c)]

I hereby certify that, having reviewed the attached documentation and being familiar with the provisions of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations Section 257.82 (40 CFR Part 257.82), I attest that this Inflow Design Flood Control System Plan is accurate and has been prepared in accordance with good engineering practices, including the consideration of applicable industry standards, and with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 257.82.

Golder Associates Inc.

Signature

October 14, 2016 Date of Report Certification

John D. Puls, PE

Name

6201055787

Professional Engineer Certification Number









Table of Contents

CERTI	FICATION	C-1
Profe	essional Engineer Certification Statement [40 CFR 257.82(c)]	C-1
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Purpose	1
2.0	FLOOD CONTROL SYSTEM	2
2.1	External Floodwater Protection	2
2.2	Internal Flood Control	2
3.0	PLAN REVISION AND RECORDKEEPING	4
4.0	REFERENCES	5

List of Tables

Table 2.2.1	Discharge Structure Summary
Table 2.2.2	Storm Flow Data

List of Figures

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	General Site Plan

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Appendix B Appendix C FEMA Flood Elevation and Lake Michigan Normal Elevation
- Rainfall Data
- Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model Output





1652598

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

B.C. Cobb Generating Facility (BC Cobb) is a coal-fired power generation facility located in Muskegon, Michigan as presented on Figure 1 – Site Location Map. BC Cobb formerly operated two coal-burning baseload units but ceased electrical generation on April 15, 2016. Prior to stopping electrical generation, bottom ash was sluiced from BC Cobb to the Bottom Ash Pond. Stored bottom ash was mechanically removed from the pond as needed to maintain storage capacity. The Bottom Ash Pond discharged water via two corrugated metal outflow pipes that were reported to be in good to fair condition in the Barr Triennial Ash Dike Risk Assessment Report - Spring 2014 (Barr 2014). The pipes discharge to an internal pond network (Ponds 0-8) and then to the permitted National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) outfall to Muskegon Lake as provided on Figure 2 - General Site Plan. Currently, BC Cobb is being decommissioned. The Bottom Ash Pond is no longer receiving coal combustion residual (CCR) from an active power generating plant. The Bottom Ash Pond is anticipated to accept negligible amounts of CCR contact wash water and other low-volume miscellaneous wastewaters until the expiration of the BC Cobb NPDES permit (October 1, 2018) or a date earlier when the BC Cobb NPDES permit is administratively discharged by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) after satisfaction that all permitted potential polluting streams have been addressed. It is anticipated that the Bottom Ash Pond's final receipt of waste will occur on October 1, 2018; and resulting subsequent closure activities will commence within regulated timeframes.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of the Inflow Design Flood Control System Plan (Plan) is to provide a basis for the certification required by 40 CFR 257.82 (Hydrologic and Hydraulic Capacity Requirements for CCR Surface Impoundments). The Bottom Ash Pond has been rated a significant hazard potential as determined under 40 CFR 257.73(a)(2). 40 CFR 257.82(a) requires the owner or operator of a significant hazard potential CCR surface impoundment to design, construct, operate, and maintain an inflow flood control system as follows:

- Adequately manage the flow into the CCR unit during and following the peak discharge of the inflow of the 1000-year flood event
- Adequately manage the flow from the CCR unit to collect and control the peak discharge resulting from the 1000-year flood event
- Handle discharge from the CCR unit in accordance with the surface water requirements under 40 CFR 257.3-3



1652598

2.0 FLOOD CONTROL SYSTEM

To meet the requirements of 40 CFR 257.82(a), the flood control system must provide flood protection to the CCR unit during the inflow design flood (1000-year event) for two cases: 1) floodwater from outside the unit from Muskegon Lake, and 2) controlling internal water levels within the unit.

2.1 External Floodwater Protection

The Bottom Ash Pond is surrounded by a perimeter dike that provides external floodwater protection. One potential inflow source to the Bottom Ash Pond was identified and evaluated; Muskegon Lake.

A publicly available 1000-year flood elevation for Muskegon Lake has not been determined by Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). As a result, Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) has estimated the 1000-year flood elevation by extrapolation of the FEMA data and verification with a hydraulic model. The FEMA Flood Insurance Study (FIS) (FEMA 2015) reported Muskegon Lake levels for the 10-, 50-, 100- and 500-year recurrence intervals. The 100- and 500-year levels are 584.4 and 585.3 feet (NAVD88), respectively. Based on a logarithmic best fit curve extrapolation, the 1000-year Muskegon Lake level is approximately 585.7 feet (NAVD88). The lowest elevation along the perimeter dike is 595.0 feet (NAVD88), which allows for 9.3 feet of freeboard during the 1000-year flood event. Therefore, Muskegon Lake was determined to not be an inflow source to the Bottom Ash Pond.

2.2 Internal Flood Control

The only inflow will be precipitation directly falling on the Bottom Ash Pond from a 1000-year 24-hour storm event of 11.6 inches, as provided in Appendix B - Rainfall Data. There are two discharge structures in the perimeter berm: one 15-inch and one 18-inch corrugated metal pipe (CMP). These pipes flow from the Bottom Ash Pond to Pond 6.

Discharge Structure	Туре	Size (Inches)	Length (Feet)	Upstream Invert (NAVD88)	Downstream Invert (NAVD88)	Slope (%)
15-inch	CMP	15	250.0	592.12	588.01	1.64
18-inch	CMP	18	250.0	591.05	588.05	1.20

Table 2.2.1 - Discharge Structure Summary

Given the negligible amount of CCR contact wash water draining to the Bottom Ash Pond, it is expected that the static water elevation in the Bottom Ash Pond will equalize with Muskegon Lake's (Lake Michigan) normal water elevation of 579.40 feet (NAVD88), as reported by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and provided in Appendix A - FEMA Flood Elevation and Lake Michigan Normal Elevation. Given the pond bottom is approximately 589.0 (NAVD88), the pond was assumed to be dry at the start of the rain event. Table 2.2.2 below provides a storm flow summary that indicates that the Bottom



	October 2016	3	1652598
--	--------------	---	---------

Ash Pond is contained with 3.08 feet of freeboard, a peak discharge rate of 2.32 cubic feet per second (cfs) and total outflow volume of 0.789 acre-feet to Pond 6 during the design storm event (1000-year 24-hour). The modeled results indicate that:

The inflow design flood control system adequately manages flow from the CCR unit to collect and control the peak discharge resulting from the inflow design flood (1000-year 24-hour storm event)

The hydrologic and hydraulic model output is provided in Appendix C - Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model Output. It should be noted that the pond elevations presented in Table 2.2.2 were used to assess the maximum storage pool loading condition pursuant to 40 CFR 257.73(e)(1)(i).

Table 2.2.2 – Storm Flow Data

Area Perimeter Berm (NAVD88)		Pond Elevation 1000-year 24-hour (NAVD88)	Peak Outflow (cfs)	Volume of Outflow (acre-feet)
Bottom Ash Pond	595.00	591.92	2.32	0.789



3.0 PLAN REVISION AND RECORDKEEPING

Per 40 CFR 257.82(c)(2); "The owner or operator of the CCR unit may amend the written inflow design flood control system plan at any time provided the revised plan is placed in the facility's operating record as required by §257.105(g)(4). The owner or operator must amend the written inflow design flood control system plan whenever there is a change in conditions that would substantially affect the written plan in effect."

Per 40 CFR 257.82(c)(4); "The owner or operator must prepare periodic inflow design flood control system plans required by paragraph (c)(1) of this section every five years. The date of completing the initial plan is the basis for establishing the deadline to complete the first periodic plan. The owner or operator may complete any required plan prior to the required deadline provided the owner or operator places the completed plan into the facility's operating record within a reasonable amount of time. In all cases, the deadline for completing a subsequent plan is based on the date of completing the previous plan. For purposes of this paragraph (c)(4), the owner or operator has completed an inflow design flood control system plan when the plan has been placed in the facility's operating record as required by §257.105(g)(4)."





4.0 **REFERENCES**

- Barr Engineering Company, 2014. B.C. Cobb Ash Disposal Area: Triennial Ash Dike Risk Assessment Report Spring 2014.
- FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency). 2015. Flood Insurance Study, Muskegon County, Michigan. Effective July 6, 2015. Flood Insurance Study Number 26121CV000A.
- USEPA (US Environmental Protection Agency). 2015. Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals from Electric Utilities; Final Rule. 40 CFR Part 257. Effective Date October 19, 2015.



FIGURES







YYYY-MM-DD	2016-06-14
DESIGNED	JRP
PREPARED	AM
REVIEWED	JRP
APPROVED	JDP

APPENDIX A FEMA FLOOD ELEVATION AND LAKE MICHIGAN NORMAL ELEVATION

NOTES TO USERS

This map is for uses in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not notestarily identify all ensus subject to thooting, particularly from local drainage sources of small sets. This community may expectitely should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood trazard information.

B. Ottam nove scholar information in a max where Base Topod Executions III for a maximum processing of the scholar test for the scholar test information in a scholar test for the scholar test information in the scholar test in the scholar test in the scholar test information. Accordingly, food ensuits information with the ITMM for support de containation with the ITMM for support information.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on him may reply writy included of 0.0 how American Version (Carlor of 164 (NNO) Bai). Users of the FIRM exhault to server that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Germany of Billiater between that in the Rood Insurance Study Report for the junctionse. Elevations about the The Rood Insurance Study Report for the junctionse. Elevations about the forgisment many of Billiater Christian Mark Study Line and No controller about the forgisment many of Billiater Christian and the set of the order for about the forgisment and the set of the FIRM.

Boundaries of the **Bootways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The Bootways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to regularismits of the Network Tool was an an an analysis of the and other pertinent flootway state are provided in the filliod Insurance Study Report Mr the jumicition.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control abrusturies. Refer to Section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood insurance Study Report for Information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The optication of the production of the may have be thereaf Telenance Measure (cPU) are 16. The interfacent atsum was table 18, OB 18, OB 1990 schedule. Differences in datas, schedule productor or VIVE zones used in the production of TRME for adjacent interfactore may many schedule differences in majo features acress unside/on boundaries. These differences do not affect the society of the FIRM.

Pood exwatchs on this rate are inferenced in the North American Verbal Denur of 1988. These food existings must be compared to structure and private development effectives the service advance. For information providing convention between the Netocial Goostic Verbal Datum of 1923 and the North American Verball Datum of 1988, will be National Geodetic Survey watelike an <u>Hos/Networksmonscoper</u> or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NCAA, NNRG512 National Geodetic Survey SSIAC-3, 89202 1315 East-West Highway Stere Spring, Maryland 20910-3282 (301) 713-3242

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the Nationa Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-1242, or wait its website at http://www.nas.nose.gov.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by Farm Schweise-Administration. This information was photogrammetrically compiled at a scale of 1.12 000 from aerial photography dated 2005.

The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles in the FIS report. As a result of improved topographic data, the profile baseline, in some cases, may deviate significantly from the channel centerline or appear outside the SFHA.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to write current corporate time locations.

Please refer to the separately proted Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the legost of map panels, community map repository addresses, and a lusting of communities table containing National Rood Insurance Plogram dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is becamed

For information on available products associated with this FIRM wait the Map Service Center (MSC) website at <u>Molimatikminace</u>. Available products may include previously assue Lettmin of Mac Change, a Pool invariante Salary Report, and/or dipal versions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or observed electly from the MSC watave.

If you have questions about this map, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program in general, phase call the FEMA Map Information atChange (FMIX) at 1-477-FEMA-MAP (1-077-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at <u>HUP (www.fmar.pccbusenesting</u>)





FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY

MUSKEGON COUNTY, MICHIGAN

(ALL JURISDICTIONS	S
--------------------	---

NAME
*Blue Lake, Townsh
*Casnovia, Townshi
Cedar Creek, Town
*Dalton Township o

COMMUNITY

COMMUNITY NUMBER

ip of 261196 in of 261197 261198 ship of of 261199 Dalton, Lownship Egelston, Township of 260680 Fruitland, Township of 260265 Fruitport, Charter Township of 261200 *Fruitport, Village of 261201 *Holton, Township of 261203 Laketon, Township of 260159 *Lakewood Club, Village of 261204 Montague, City of 260160 Montague, Township of 261240

*No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified



Effective: July 6, 2015

COMMUNITY

NAME

Whitehall, City of



Federal Emergency Management Agency

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER 26121CV000A

Table 3 - Summary of Stillwater Elevations

Water Surface Elevations (NAVD¹)

Flooding S	ource	10-Percent- Annual-Chance	2-Percent- Annual-Chance	1-Percent- Annual-Chance	0.2-Percent- Annual-Chance
Bear Lake		582.9	584.0	584.4	585.3
Black Lake		599.1	600.0	600.3	601.4
Lake Michigan		582.9	584.0	584.4	585.3
Mona Lake		582.9	584.0	584.4	585.3
Muskegon Lake		<mark>582.9</mark>	<mark>584.0</mark>	<mark>584.4</mark>	<mark>585.3</mark>
White Lake		582.9	584.0	584.4	585.3

¹ North American Vertical Datum of 1988

This Countywide Analysis

Flood Elevations for Lake Michigan along Muskegon County were obtained from the Revised Report on Great Lakes Open-Coast Flood Levels (USACE, 1988). The Bear Lake, Mona Lake, Muskegon Lake, and White Lake elevations were based on the Lake Michigan elevations from the 1988 report.

Peak discharges for the approximate study in Muskegon County were derived using either the published USGS regional regression equations, the MDNRE SCS procedures, or the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Technical Release 55 methodology (NRCS, 1986).

For the majority of the approximate analyses, peak discharges were estimated using the published USGS regional regression equations (USGS, 1984). Regression equations estimate peak discharges for ungaged streams based on characteristics of nearby gaged streams.

Several streams in Muskegon County that were previously studied using approximate methods have drainage areas that do not fall within the allowable range for use with the USGS regression equations. For these streams, the MDNRE has published a guidance document on small ungaged watersheds that outlines procedures to determine peak discharges (Sorrell, 2008).

3.2 Hydraulic Analyses

Analyses of the hydraulic characteristics of flooding from the sources studied were carried out to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals. Users should be aware that flood elevations shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations and may not exactly reflect the elevations shown on the Flood Profiles or in the Floodway Data Table in the FIS report. Flood elevations shown on the FIRM are primarily intended for flood

APPENDIX B RAINFALL DATA



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2 Location name: Muskegon, Michigan, US* Latitude: 43.2588°, Longitude: -86.2463° Elevation: 587 ft* * source: Google Maps



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps & aerials

PF tabular

PDS	PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹									
Duration				Average	recurrence	interval (ye	ears)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.308	0.365	0.463	0.550	0.680	0.787	0.899	1.02	1.19	1.33
	(0.262–0.371)	(0.309-0.439)	(0.391–0.559)	(0.462-0.668)	(0.550-0.868)	(0.617-1.02)	(0.676-1.20)	(0.728–1.41)	(0.810-1.69)	(0.871–1.91)
10-min	0.452	0.534	0.678	0.806	0.995	1.15	1.32	1.49	1.74	1.94
	(0.384-0.543)	(0.453-0.643)	(0.573-0.818)	(0.676-0.978)	(0.806-1.27)	(0.904–1.49)	(0.990-1.76)	(1.07-2.06)	(1.19-2.48)	(1.28–2.80)
15-min	0.551	0.651	0.827	0.983	1.21	1.41	1.61	1.82	2.12	2.37
	(0.468-0.662)	(0.552-0.784)	(0.698-0.998)	(0.825-1.19)	(0.983-1.55)	(1.10–1.82)	(1.21–2.14)	(1.30-2.51)	(1.45-3.02)	(1.56-3.41)
30-min	0.749	0.890	1.14	1.36	1.68	1.95	2.23	2.54	2.97	3.31
	(0.637-0.901)	(0.755-1.07)	(0.960-1.37)	(1.14-1.65)	(1.36-2.15)	(1.53–2.53)	(1.68–2.98)	(1.81-3.50)	(2.02-4.22)	(2.18–4.77)
60-min	0.964	1.14	1.46	1.75	2.18	2.56	2.95	3.39	4.00	4.50
	(0.819-1.16)	(0.967-1.37)	(1.23–1.76)	(1.46-2.12)	(1.77-2.80)	(2.01-3.32)	(2.22-3.95)	(2.42-4.68)	(2.73-5.71)	(2.96-6.48)
2-hr	1.18	1.39	1.78	2.14	2.69	3.16	3.67	4.23	5.03	5.69
	(1.01–1.41)	(1.19–1.66)	(1.51–2.13)	(1.80-2.57)	(2.20-3.44)	(2.50-4.09)	(2.78–4.89)	(3.05–5.82)	(3.46-7.14)	(3.77-8.14)
3-hr	1.33	1.55	1.98	2.39	3.03	3.58	4.19	4.86	5.83	6.62
	(1.14-1.58)	(1.33–1.85)	(1.69–2.37)	(2.02–2.87)	(2.49-3.87)	(2.85-4.63)	(3.19–5.57)	(3.52–6.67)	(4.02-8.25)	(4.40-9.44)
6-hr	1.61	1.87	2.37	2.86	3.65	4.35	5.13	5.99	7.26	8.31
	(1.39–1.90)	(1.61–2.21)	(2.03–2.81)	(2.44-3.41)	(3.04-4.66)	(3.49–5.61)	(3.94–6.80)	(4.38-8.20)	(5.05-10.2)	(5.56–11.8)
12-hr	1.93	2.22	2.80	3.38	4.32	5.17	6.11	7.17	8.73	10.0
	(1.67–2.26)	(1.92-2.60)	(2.41-3.29)	(2.89-4.00)	(3.62-5.50)	(4.18–6.63)	(4.73-8.06)	(5.27-9.75)	(6.12-12.2)	(6.76–14.1)
24-hr	2.26 (1.97–2.63)	2.58 (2.25-3.01)	3.24 (2.80–3.78)	3.90 (3.35–4.58)	4.98 (4.20-6.30)	5.96 (4.85-7.60)	7.05 (5.50-9.25)	8.29 (6.14-11.2)	10.1 (7.14–14.1)	<mark>11.6</mark> (7.90-16.3)
2-day	2.58 (2.26-2.98)	2.93 (2.57-3.39)	3.65 (3.18-4.24)	4.38 (3.79–5.11)	5.58 (4.74-7.00)	6.66 (5.45-8.43)	7.87 (6.18–10.3)	9.24 (6.89-12.4)	11.3 (8.01–15.6)	12.9 (8.85-18.0)
3-day	2.77 (2.43–3.18)	3.15 (2.76-3.63)	3.91 (3.42-4.52)	4.67 (4.06–5.43)	5.91 (5.03-7.37)	7.02 (5.77-8.84)	8.27 (6.50–10.7)	9.66 (7.23-12.9)	11.7 (8.36–16.1)	13.4 (9.22-18.6)
4-day	2.95	3.33	4.10	4.86	6.11	7.23	8.48	9.89	12.0	13.7
	(2.60-3.38)	(2.93–3.82)	(3.59–4.72)	(4.23-5.63)	(5.21-7.59)	(5.95-9.07)	(6.69–10.9)	(7.42-13.2)	(8.56-16.4)	(9.43–18.9)
7-day	3.53 (3.13-4.02)	3.85 (3.41-4.40)	4.54 (3.99–5.20)	5.26 (4.59–6.05)	6.46 (5.55-7.98)	7.57 (6.27-9.45)	8.82 (7.01–11.3)	10.3 (7.75–13.6)	12.4 (8.92–16.9)	14.2 (9.81–19.4)
10-day	4.06	4.38	5.05	5.76	6.95	8.03	9.27	10.7	12.8	14.5
	(3.60-4.61)	(3.88-4.97)	(4.46-5.76)	(5.05-6.60)	(5.98-8.52)	(6.68-9.97)	(7.39–11.8)	(8.09-14.1)	(9.24-17.4)	(10.1–19.9)
20-day	5.48 (4.89–6.17)	6.00 (5.35-6.77)	6.94 (6.16-7.85)	7.79 (6.86–8.86)	9.06 (7.75-10.8)	10.1 (8.41–12.3)	11.3 (8.99–14.1)	12.5 (9.50-16.2)	14.3 (10.4–19.1)	15.7 (11.0-21.3)
30-day	6.65 (5.96-7.46)	7.36 (6.59-8.27)	8.55 (7.61–9.63)	9.55 (8.45-10.8)	10.9 (9.32–12.9)	12.0 (9.99–14.4)	13.2 (10.5–16.3)	14.3 (10.9–18.3)	15.9 (11.5–21.0)	17.0 (12.0–23.1)
45-day	8.16 (7.33–9.11)	9.06 (8.12–10.1)	10.5 (9.37–11.8)	11.6 (10.3–13.1)	13.2 (11.2–15.4)	14.4 (11.9–17.1)	15.5 (12.4–19.0)	16.6 (12.6-21.1)	18.1 (13.1–23.8)	19.1 (13.5–25.8)
60-day	9.48 (8.53–10.5)	10.5 (9.41–11.7)	12.0 (10.8–13.5)	13.3 (11.8–15.0)	15.0 (12.8–17.4)	16.2 (13.5–19.2)	17.4 (14.0–21.3)	18.6 (14.2–23.6)	20.1 (14.7–26.4)	21.2 (15.0–28.5)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2





Large scale aerial



Back to Top

US Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service National Water Center 1325 East West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910 APPENDIX C HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC MODEL OUTPUT

BCC BAP 10-12-16 Prepared by Golder Associates, Inc. HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 06044 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Pipe Listing (selected nodes)								
Line#	Node Number	In-Invert (feet)	Out-Invert (feet)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	n	Diam/Width (inches)	Height (inches)
1	12P	592.12	588.01	250.0	0.0164	0.025	15.0	0.0
2	12P	591.05	588.05	250.0	0.0120	0.025	18.0	0.0

a (colocted nodes) **D:**... . . .

Page 1

Page 2

Summary for Pond 12P: PROPOSED BAP

Inflow Are	ea =	1.700 ac, 27.0	06% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	10.26" for	1000-YEAR event
Inflow	=	29.11 cfs @ 1'	1.90 hrs, Volume	€= 1.453	af	
Outflow	=	2.32 cfs @ 12	2.38 hrs, Volume	€= 0.789	af, Atten= 9	2%, Lag= 28.7 min
Primary	=	2.32 cfs @ 12	2.38 hrs, Volume	€= 0.789	af	-

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs / 3 Peak Elev= 591.92' @ 12.38 hrs Surf.Area= 19,574 sf Storage= 40,092 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 296.1 min calculated for 0.789 af (54% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 180.9 min (937.5 - 756.6)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	rage Storage	e Description			
#1	589.0	00' 109,94	46 cf Custor	n Stage Data (P	rismatic)Listed below (Recalc)		
Elevatio (fee	n t)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)			
589.0 590.0 591.0 592.0 593.0 594.0 595.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4,212 11,928 17,734 19,730 21,727 23,779 25,884	0 8,070 14,831 18,732 20,729 22,753 24,832	0 8,070 22,901 41,633 62,362 85,115 109,946			
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	es			
#1	Primary	592.12' 591.05'	15.0" Round Culvert L= 250.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Outlet Invert= 588.01' S= 0.0164 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.025 Corrugated metal 18.0" Round Culvert L= 250.0' CMP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Outlet Invert= 588.05' S= 0.0120 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.025				

Primary OutFlow Max=2.32 cfs @ 12.38 hrs HW=591.92' (Free Discharge)

-1=Culvert (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-2=Culvert (Barrel Controls 2.32 cfs @ 3.14 fps)

BCC BAP 10-12-16

Page 3



Established in 1960, Golder Associates is a global, employee-owned organization that helps clients find sustainable solutions to the challenges of finite resources, energy and water supply and management, waste management, urbanization, and climate change. We provide a wide range of independent consulting, design, and construction services in our specialist areas of earth, environment, and energy. By building strong relationships and meeting the needs of clients, our people have created one of the most trusted professional services organizations in the world.

- Africa Asia Australasia Europe North America South America
- + 27 11 254 4800
- + 852 2562 3658
- + 61 3 8862 3500
- + 356 21 42 30 20
- + 1 800 275 3281

+ 56 2 2616 2000

solutions@golder.com www.golder.com

Golder Associates Inc. 15851 South U.S. 27, Suite 50 Lansing, MI 48906 USA Tel: (517) 482-2262 Fax: (517) 482-2460



Engineering Earth's Development, Preserving Earth's Integrity

Golder, Golder Associates and the GA globe design are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation