

D.E. KARN GENERATING FACILITY

BOTTOM ASH LINED IMPOUNDMENT LINER SYSTEM DESIGN CERTIFICATION REPORT

Essexville, Michigan

Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.72(c)

Submitted To: Consumers Energy Company 1945 W. Parnall Road Jackson, Michigan 49201

Prepared By: Golder Associates Inc. 15851 South US 27, Suite 50 Lansing, Michigan 48906

April 2018

1781451





April 2018

CERTIFICATION

Professional Engineer Certification Statement [40 CFR 257.72(c)]

I hereby certify that, having reviewed the attached documentation and being familiar with the provisions of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations Section 257.72 (40 CFR Part 257.72), I attest that this Liner System Design Certification Report is accurate and has been prepared in accordance with recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices, including the consideration of applicable industry standards, and with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 257.72.

Golder Associates Inc.

Signature

Date of Report Certification

Matthew Wachholz, PE

Name

6201047513

Professional Engineer Certification Number







Table of Contents

	CERTIFICATION	C-1
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	LINER SYSTEM DESIGN	2
3.0	LIQUID FLOW RATE COMPARISON	4
4.0	CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY	6
5.0	REFERENCES	7

List of Tables

Table 2.0.1 Liner System Design Criteria Summary

List of Figures

Figure 2.0.1 Liner System Design Detail

Figure 1Site Location MapFigure 2General Site Plan

List of Attachments

Attachment 1 Liquid Flow Rate Equivalency Calculation



1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

On April 17, 2015, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued the Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Rule (40 CFR 257 Subpart D) ("CCR RCRA Rule") to regulate the solid waste management of CCR generated at electric utilities. Section 257.72(c) of the CCR RCRA Rule requires the owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment to document that the unit was designed with a liner system meeting criteria outlined in Section 257.70(b) or (c). According to Section 257.72(c), the documentation must be certified accurate prior to construction of the surface impoundment by a qualified professional engineer in the State of Michigan.

Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) is submitting this report to certify that the Bottom Ash Lined Impoundment at the Consumers Energy Company (CEC) D.E. Karn Generating Facility (DE Karn) in Essexville, Michigan, was designed with a liner system that meets criteria outlined in 40 CFR 257.70(c) per the requirements of 40 CFR 257.72(c). DE Karn is located in Essexville, Michigan as presented on Figure 1 – Site Location Map and the location of the Bottom Ash Lined Impoundment is outlined on Figure 2 – General Site Plan.



2.0 LINER SYSTEM DESIGN

The DE Karn Bottom Ash Lined Impoundment was designed with an alternative composite liner system to meet the material and equivalency criteria outlined in 40 CFR 257.70(c). The liner system was designed as a double composite liner system, with the primary and secondary composite liners each consisting of 60-mil High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) geomembrane (GM) overlaying 236-mil geosynthetic clay liner (GCL). Table 2.0.1 summarizes the liner system design and RCRA criteria. A detail of the liner system components for the DE Karn Bottom Ash Lined Impoundment is provided below in Figure 1.

Section 257.70(c)(2) of the CCR RCRA Rule requires an equivalency calculation to demonstrate that the lower component of the alternative composite liner system meets the liquid flow rate criteria outlined in 40 CFR 257.70(c)(1). The liquid flow rate equivalency calculation is discussed in Section 3.0.

Table 2.0.1 – I	Liner System	Design Crite	ria Summary
-----------------	--------------	---------------------	-------------

Liner Surface Component Impoundment Design		CCR RCRA Rule Requirement	CCR RCRA Rule Section
Upper	60-mil HDPE GM	Minimum 30-mil GM (HDPE GM must be at least 60 mil)	257.70(c)(1)
Lower	236-mil GCL with <i>k</i> of 1×10 ⁻⁹ cm/s or less	Component other than GM with a liquid flow rate less than or equal to liquid flow rate through two feet of compacted soil with k of 1×10 ⁻⁷ cm/s	257.70(c)(1)
Liner Head Reduction System	Sand (floor)/ geocomposite (slopes)	No requirement	NA
Upper	60-mil HDPE GM	Minimum 30-mil GM (HDPE GM must be at least 60 mil)	257.70(c)(1)
Lower	236-mil GCL with <i>k</i> of 1×10 ⁻⁹ cm/s or less	Component other than GM with a liquid flow rate less than or equal to liquid flow rate through two feet of compacted soil with k of 1×10 ⁻⁷ cm/s	257.70(c)(1)

Notes:

HDPE – High Density Polyethylene

LLDPE – Linear-Low Density Polyethylene

GM – geomembrane

GCL – geosynthetic clay liner

k – saturated vertical hydraulic conductivity

NA – not applicable











3.0 LIQUID FLOW RATE COMPARISON

Per 40 CFR 257.70(c)(2), an equivalency calculation was performed to verify that the liquid flow rate through the 236-mil (0.60-cm) GCL is less than or equal to the liquid flow rate through two feet (60.96 cm) of compacted soil. Equation 1 (Eq 1) shown below was used for the calculation as required in 257.70(c)(2):

$$\frac{Q}{A} = q = k \left(\frac{h}{t} + 1\right)$$
 Eq 1

where: Q = flow rate through the layer in cubic centimeters (cm³) per second (cm³/s);

A = surface area of the layer in squared centimeters (cm^2) ;

q = flow rate through the layer per unit area in cm^3 per second per cm^2 ($cm^3/s/cm^2$);

h = hydraulic head above the liner system in centimeters (cm); and

t = thickness of the layer in cm.

A 236-mil GCL with a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10^{-9} cm/s was determined to have a liquid flow rate less than the liquid flow rate through two feet of compacted soil with a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10^{-7} cm/s. The liquid flow rate equivalency calculation is provided in Attachment 1 – Liquid Flow Rate Equivalency Calculation.

This calculation has been completed for the sole purpose of demonstrating equivalency for the alternative composite liner system and should not be recognized as the designed infiltration rate of the CCR Unit, as the calculation does not account for the 60-mil GM and also considers a hydraulic head elevation that is the maximum elevation reasonably anticipated and higher than the normal operating level of the unit.



4.0 SUBSEQUENT REQUIREMENTS

The Bottom Ash Lined Impoundment liner system has been designed using recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices in accordance with 40 CFR 257.70(c) and 257.72. Per 40 CFR 257.72(d), the owner or operator must obtain certification from a qualified professional engineer that the alternative composite liner system has been constructed in accordance with 40 CFR 257.72 once the Bottom Ash Lined Impoundment has been completed. The certification must be placed in the facility's operating record in accordance with 40 CFR 257.105(f) and must be made available on the facility's publicly accessible internet site in accordance with 40 CFR 257.107(f) prior to first receipt of waste.





5.0 CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY

Based on the review of the liner system design and liquid flow rate equivalency calculation, Golder has determined that the DE Karn Bottom Ash Lined Impoundment was designed with an alternative composite liner system that meets the criteria provided in 40 CFR 257.70(c). This report must be placed in the facility's operating record in accordance with 40 CFR 257.105(f) and must be made available on the facility's publicly accessible internet site in accordance with 40 CFR 257.107(f) prior to construction of the surface impoundment.

Sincerely,

GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.

myon John

Megan Jehring, E.I.T. Staff Geotechnical Engineer

Valles for

Matt Wachholz, P.E. Senior Consultant





6.0 **REFERENCES**

"Standards for the Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals in Landfills and Surface Impoundments," Title 40 – Protection of the Environment Part 257 – Criteria for Classification of Solid Waste Disposal Facilities and Practices Subpart D – Standards for the Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals in Landfills and Surface Impoundments.



FIGURE 1 SITE LOCATION MAP



REVIEWED

APPROVED

MMJ

MW

PROJECT NO. 1781451.0003

STAn

FIGURE

1

REV.

0

FIGURE 2 GENERAL SITE PLAN



4

			 					NAME	00110411
0	2018-04-06	SUBMITTED FOR OWNER'S REVIEW	MMJ	SDA	MMJ	MW		MICHIGAN P.E. No.	D.E. KARN (
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DR	BY	СК	APP	СО		E

ATTACHMENT 1 LIQUID FLOW RATE EQUIVALENCY CALCULATION



Date:	4/5/2018	Made by:	MMJ		
Project No.:	1781451	Checked by:	JSH		
Subject:	Liquid Flow Rate Equivalency Calculation	Reviewed by:	MW		
Project					
Short Title:	D.E. Karn New Surface Impoundment RCRA Compliance				

OBJECTIVE:

Verify the liquid flow rate through the lower component of the alternative composite liner is less than or equal to the liquid flow rate through 2 feet (60.96 cm) of compacted soil with a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10^{-7} cm/s.

ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1) The alternative composite liner design for the new surface impoundment consists of an upper component of 60-mil HDPE geomembrane and a lower component of geosynthetic clay liner (GCL). The selected GCL has a thickness of 236 mil (0.6 cm) and a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10^{-9} cm/s or less.
- 2) The maximum hydraulic head expected above the liner system is 6 feet (182.88 cm), occurring during the 100-year flood event as determined in the Inflow Design Flood Control System Plan per 40 CFR 257.82.

METHODS:

The liquid flow rate will be calculated using Darcy's Law per 40 CFR 257.70(c)(2):

$$\frac{Q}{A} = q = k \left(\frac{h}{t} + 1\right)$$

where:

Q

q

h

flow rate through layer (cm³/s) =

- А = surface area of layer (cm^2)
 - flow rate through layer per unit area (cm³/s/cm²) =
- saturated vertical hydraulic conductivity of the layer (cm/s) k =
 - hydraulic head above the liner system (cm) =
- thickness of the layer (cm) t =

CALCULATIONS:

1) Liquid flow rate through 2 feet of compacted soil with a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10^{-7} cm/s:

k	=	1.00E-07 cm/s
h	=	182.88 cm
t	=	60.96 cm
q ₁	=	4.0E-07 cm ³ /s/cm ²

2) Liquid flow rate through 0.6-cm thick GCL with a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10^{-9} cm/s:

	k h t	= = =	1.00E-09 182.88 0.6	cm/s cm cm
	q_2	=	3.1E-07	cm ³ /s/cm ²
3) Flow rate c	ompari	son:		
$4.0E-07 \text{ cm}^{3}/\text{s/cm}^{2}$	q ₁	≥ >	q ₂ 3.1E-07	cm ³ /s/cm ²
		-	0.12 0.	01173/011

CONCLUSIONS:

The liquid flow rate through a 0.6-cm thick GCL with a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10⁻⁹ cm/s is less than the liquid flow rate through two feet of compacted soil with a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10⁻⁷ cm/s. Therefore, the alternative composite liner design for the new surface impoundment satisfies requirements outlined in 40 CFR 257.70(c)(2).

REFERENCES:

1) "Standards for the Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals in Landfills and Surface Impoundments," Title 40 – Protection of the Environment Part 257 - Criteria for Classification Solid Waste Disposal Facilities and Practices Subpart D -Standards for the Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals in Landfills and Surface Impoundments.

Established in 1960, Golder Associates is a global, employee-owned organization that helps clients find sustainable solutions to the challenges of finite resources, energy and water supply and management, waste management, urbanization, and climate change. We provide a wide range of independent consulting, design, and construction services in our specialist areas of earth, environment, and energy. By building strong relationships and meeting the needs of clients, our people have created one of the most trusted professional services organizations in the world.

- Africa Asia Australasia Europe North America South America
- + 27 11 254 4800
- + 852 2562 3658
- + 61 3 8862 3500 + 356 21 42 30 20
- + 1 800 275 3281
- + 56 2 2616 2000

solutions@golder.com

www.golder.com

Golder Associates Inc. 15851 South U.S. 27, Suite 50 Lansing, MI 48906 USA Tel: (517) 482-2262 Fax: (517) 482-2460



Engineering Earth's Development, Preserving Earth's Integrity