J.R. Whiting Generating Facility
Ponds 1 and 2 - Location Restriction Certification Report

Pursuant to:
40 CFR 257.60
40 CFR 257.61
40 CFR 257.62
40 CFR 257.63
40 CFR 257.64

Submitted to:
Consumers Energy Company
1945 Parnall Road
Jackson, Michigan, USA 49201

Submitted by:
Golder Associates Inc.
15851 South US 27, Suite 50
Lansing, Michigan, USA 48906
+1 517 482-2262

Project No. 1899528

October 2018
CERTIFICATION
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I hereby certify that, having reviewed the attached documentation and being familiar with the provisions of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations Sections 257.60-64 (40 CFR Part 257.60-64), I attest that this Location Restriction Certification Report is accurate and has been prepared in accordance with good engineering practices, including the consideration of applicable industry standards and with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 257.60-64.

Golder Associates Inc.

Signature

October 17, 2018
Date of Report Certification

Jeffrey R. Piaskowski
Name
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

On April 17, 2015, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued the Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Rule (40 CFR 257 Subpart D) (“CCR RCRA Rule”) to regulate the solid waste management of CCR generated at electric utilities. Sections 257.60-64 of the CCR RCRA Rule require the owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment to document that the unit was designed to meet the location restriction criteria outlined therein. According to Sections 257.60(b), 257.61(b), 257.62(b), 257.63(b), and 257.64(c); the documentation must be certified by a qualified professional engineer no later than October 17, 2018. The documentation must be placed in the facility’s operating record and posted to the publicly available website per Sections 257.60(c), 257.61(c), 257.62(c), 257.63(c), and 257.64(d).

Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) is submitting this report in an attempt to demonstrate that the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment at the Consumers Energy Company (CEC) J.R. Whiting Generating Facility (JR Whiting) is located in an area that meets criteria outlined in 40 CFR 257.60-64.
2.0 PLACEMENT ABOVE THE UPPERMOST AQUIFER [40 CFR 257.60]

Section 257.60 of the CCR RCRA Rule requires that a CCR surface impoundment be constructed with a base that is located no less than five feet above the upper limit of the uppermost aquifer or must demonstrate that there will not be an intermittent, recurring, or sustained hydraulic connection between any portion of the base of the CCR unit and the uppermost aquifer due to normal fluctuations in groundwater elevations.

CEC cannot demonstrate that the base of CCR in Ponds 1 and 2 is no less than five feet above the upper limit of the uppermost aquifer. Per Section 257.101(b)(1)(i), a CCR surface impoundment that fails to comply with the requirements of Section 257.60 must cease receiving waste no later than October 31, 2020 and must be closed in accordance with Section 257.102. CEC has ceased placing waste in Ponds 1 and 2 and posted a notification of intent to initiate closure on November 14, 2017.
3.0 WETLANDS [40 CFR 257.61]

Section 257.61 of the CCR RCRA Rule requires that a CCR surface impoundment not be located in wetlands, as defined in 40 CFR Section 232.2, unless the owner or operator demonstrates the unit meets the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(5) of Section 257.61. The following sources were utilized, in part, to determine if the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment is located within a wetland:

- US Geological Survey (USGS) topographic map
- National Wetland Inventory (NWI) map
- Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) wetland map
- US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey map
- Aerial imagery
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) floodplain map

According to the NWI and MDEQ maps, a portion of the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment is either mapped as a wetland and/or mapped with areas that include wetland soils.

A visual evaluation of the Ponds 1 and 2 footprint was performed on September 14, 2018 by a Golder professional wetland scientist. The results of the visual evaluation confirmed the desktop study by noting that a portion of the Ponds 1 and 2 footprint exhibits characteristics of a wetland but, as noted in correspondence and continuous permitting with the applicable state agency [MDEQ and its predecessor, Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR)], it is probable that no further wetland filling clearance will be necessary. The visual evaluation is documented in the RCRA Location Restriction Assessment, J.R. Whiting CCR Surface Impoundment, Wetland Assessment Technical Memorandum (Golder 2018).

As a result, CEC cannot demonstrate the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment is not located in wetlands, as defined in 40 CFR Section 232.2. However, it should be noted that the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment was constructed between 1949 and 1955. Since initiation of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program in Michigan, the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment has operated in accordance with NPDES permit No. MI00001864, which authorizes the operation of the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment for treatment of treated fly ash transport water, treated bottom ash transport water, treated metal cleaning wastewater, treated sanitary wastewater and low volume wastes, and an unspecified amount of stormwater runoff. The NPDES permit identifies the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment as a portion of the overall treatment structure within the permitted NPDES boundary and has historically been maintained and operated as such. It should also be noted that, although CEC cannot demonstrate that the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment is not located in a wetland as defined in 40 CFR Section 232.2, CEC can demonstrate that the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment has been operated and maintained as part of the NPDES stormwater system per Section 402 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and that development of wetland-like characteristics is incidental to its operation and maintenance.

Per Section 257.101(b)(1), a CCR surface impoundment that fails to comply with the requirements of Section 257.61 must, within six months, cease receiving waste and be closed in accordance with Section 257.102. CEC has ceased placing waste in Ponds 1 and 2 and posted a notification of intent to initiate closure on November 14, 2017.
4.0  FAULT AREAS [40 CFR 257.62]

Section 257.62 requires that a CCR surface impoundment not be located within 200 feet of the outermost damage zone of a fault that has had displacement in Holocene time (approximately 12,000 years ago to present day). According to the USGS U.S. Quaternary Faults and Folds Database (USGS 2014b), the fault zone nearest to Ponds 1 and 2 with documented displacement in Holocene time is the New Madrid Seismic Zone. While active fault zones are not expressed at the surface, movement along these faults have caused seismic activity in the region for the past 4,500 years.

According to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, the New Madrid Seismic Zone is primarily located in southeastern Missouri, northeastern Arkansas, western Tennessee, western Kentucky, and southern Illinois. The Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment is approximately 350 miles northeast of the New Madrid Seismic Zone, satisfying the requirements of Section 257.62.
5.0 SEISMIC IMPACT ZONES [40 CFR 257.63]

Section 257.63 requires that a CCR surface impoundment not be located in seismic impact zones, defined in Section 257.53 as an area having two percent or greater probability that the maximum expected horizontal ground acceleration will exceed 10 percent of gravity (0.10g) in 50 years (return period of approximately 2,500 years). Data published in 2014 from the USGS indicates that the Ponds 1 and 2 footprint has an annual frequency of exceedance of $2.08 \times 10^{-4}$ for an earthquake with a maximum expected horizontal ground acceleration of 0.10g, which corresponds to a probability of exceedance of 1.03 percent in 50 years and a return period of 5,000 years.

Since the probability of exceedance is less than two percent in 50 years for a maximum expected horizontal ground acceleration of 0.10g, the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment is not located in a seismic impact zone, satisfying the requirements of Section 257.63.
6.0 **UNSTABLE AREAS [40 CFR 257.64]**

Section 257.64 requires that a CCR surface impoundment not be located in an unstable area. As outlined in Section 257.64(b), the following must be considered when determining whether an area is unstable:

- Onsite or local soil conditions that may result in significant differential settling
- Onsite or local geologic or geomorphologic features
- Onsite or local human-made features or events (both surface and subsurface)

Previous geotechnical investigations in and around Ponds 1 and 2 indicate the onsite soils are comprised of layers of soft to medium clay underlain by layers of stiff to hard clay (Mannik and Smith 2016). An annual inspection performed by Golder in 2017 per Section 257.83 for Ponds 1 and 2 did not indicate any significant effect of settlement on inflow/outflow structures for the impoundment (Golder 2017a). Additionally, a settlement analysis was performed to assure positive drainage is maintained across the final cover (Golder 2017b).

A desktop study was conducted using GeoWebFace (MDEQ 2018), an online GIS database managed by the MDEQ, which confirmed that none of the following are located in a proximity that would affect the stability of Ponds 1 and 2:

- Oil wells
- Gas wells
- Underground mines

Additionally, maps provided by the USGS (USGS 2014a) and the Michigan Natural Features Inventory (Albert, et al. 2008) indicate that the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment is not located in an area prone to karst development.

Slope stability analyses were performed for Ponds 1 and 2 by Mannik and Smith in October 2016 as part of the Safety Factor Assessment per Section 257.73(e) (Mannik and Smith 2016) and by Golder in December 2017 as part of the Closure Plan (Golder 2017b). Soil conditions observed during the geotechnical investigations were incorporated into the analyses to account for onsite geologic features. Slope stability analyses were conducted for the following scenarios:

- Maximum pool storage
- Maximum pool surcharge
- Seismic loading conditions
- Maximum closure design slope

Results of the stability analyses indicated that the Ponds 1 and 2 slopes are stable.

Previous geotechnical investigations, the results of the site inspection, and the results of the slope stability analyses and desktop study indicate that the Ponds 1 and 2 CCR surface impoundment is not located in an unstable area, satisfying the requirements of Section 257.64.
7.0 CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY

Golder has determined that Ponds 1 and 2 at JR Whiting do not meet the location restrictions outlined in 40 CFR 257.60 and 257.61. Per Section 257.101(b)(1), a CCR surface impoundment that fails to comply with the requirements of Section 257.61 must, within six months, cease receiving waste and be closed in accordance with Section 257.102. CEC has ceased placing waste in Ponds 1 and 2 and posted a notification of intent to initiate closure on November 14, 2017. Prior to October 17, 2018, this report must be placed in the facility’s operating record in accordance with Section 257.105(e) and must be made available on the facility’s publicly accessible internet site in accordance with Section 257.107(e).

Sincerely,

Golder Associates Inc.

Jeffrey R. Piaskowski, PE
Senior Project Geotechnical Engineer

Matthew J. Wachholz, PE
Senior Consultant
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